Post-PCI iFR Assessment ~Insights from DEFINE-PCI Trial~

# Mitsuaki Matsumura, RT

Assistant Director Intravascular Imaging, Physiology and MRI Core Laboratories Cardiovascular Research Foundation New York City, NY





# **Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest**

Within the past 12 months, I or my spouse/partner have had a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with the organization(s) listed below.

**Affiliation/Financial Relationship** 

Consulting Fees/Honoraria

Company

TERUMO Corporation





## **Recurrent Angina at 1 Year After PCI**



**Courtesy of Dr. Gregg Stone** 

search Foundation

Medical Center

## Meta Analysis Post-PCI FFR

#### 7470 patients in 105 studies in 1995-2015 were included



Research Foundation

#### Rimac G, et al. Am Heart J. 2017;183:1-9.

- NewYork-Presbyterian

## Post PCI Ischemia Based on FFR ≤0.80 Occurs in 10-20% of Cases



Lee JM, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2018;11:2099–2109 Agarwal, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2016:9:1022-31 Medical Center

## Low Post-PCI FFR is Related to Adverse Events



#### FFR-post-STENT Registry (N =750) % ADVERSE EVENTS AT 6 MONTHS





Lee JM, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2018;11:2099–2109 Piils N, et al. Circulation. 2002;105:2950-54.



## Low Post-PCI FFR and Pd/Pa are related to TVF

574 pts (68% stable CAD), median FU 2.5 (1.5, 3.8) years TVF = TVR or TV-MI (60 TVR and 12 spontaneous MI events)

#### Post-PCI FFR ≤0.85

esearch Foundation

#### Post-PCI Resting Pd/Pa ≤0.94



NewYork-Presbyterian

Hakeem A, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12:2002-2014.

## Incremental Prognostic Value of Post-PCI Pd/Pa in Outcome Prediction



Hakeem A, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12:2002-2014.

- NewYork-Presbyterian

## **DEFINE PCI**



Research Foundation

Jeremias A, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12:1991-2001.

- NewYork-Presbyterian

### Pre- and Post–PCI iFR After Angiographically Successful PCI





Jeremias A, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12:1991-2001.



### **Post–PCI iFR**



#### **Diffuse Residual Pressure Gradient**



Jeremias A, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12:1991-2001.

- New TOTK-Presbytenan

#### **Focal Residual Pressure Gradient in-stent**

Among the 93 vessels with focal disease, there were 146 segments (stent, proximal or distal) that had significant residual pressure gradients



#### Jeremias A. et al. ACC2019

### **Focal Residual Pressure Gradient Proximal to Stent**

"Physiologic miss" occurred in 31.5% of focal lesions proximally



### **Focal Residual Pressure Gradient Distal to Stent**

"Physiologic miss" occurred in 30.1% of focal lesions distally



## Angiographic Diameter Stenosis Correlates Poorly With Post PCI iFR

	Residual DS ≥50%	Residual DS <50%	P-Value
iFR ≤0.89	29.7%	21.4%	0.24

#### Predictors of post-PCI iFR ≤0.89 by multi-variate analysis

	OR	95% CI	P-value
Reference Vessel Diameter	0.32	0.18-0.58	0.0002
LAD	5.65	3.07-10.40	<0.0001
Post-PCI DS	1.01	1.00-1.03	0.08



Jeremias A, et al. ACC2019



## **1-Year Follow-Up Outcome**

- To assess the change in the Seattle Angina Questionnaire Angina Frequency (SAQ-AF) score during 1-year follow-up
- To assess clinical events (CV death, MI, and target vessel revascularization) at 1-year

 Perform post-hoc analysis to determine if there is a target post-PCI iFR value associated with improved outcomes





## **Identification of Post PCI iFR Target**

#### 1.00 Cardiac Death or Spontaneous MI 0.75 Sensitivity Cut-off value < 0.95 AUC (95%CI)=0.74 (0.61, 0.88) 0.25 0.00 0.25 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.00 Specificity

Cardiovascular Research Foundation

Manesh P, et al. TCT CONNECT

Medical Center

### Cardiac Death, Spontaneous MI, or Clinically Driven TVR



Manesh P, et al. TCT CONNECT

### Cardiac Death or Spontaneous MI (%)



Research Foundation

Manesh P, et al. TCT CONNECT

- NewYork-Presbyterian

## Identification of Post PCI iFR Target

	iFR <0.95 (N=285)	iFR ≥0.95 (N=182)	Total (N=467)	P value
Death	1.4% (4)	1.1% (2)	1.3% (6)	0.81
Cardiac	0.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.2% (1)	0.44
Non-cardiovascular	1.1% (3)	1.1% (2)	1.1% (5)	0.93
MI	3.9% (11)	1.1% (2)	2.8% (13)	0.08
Peri-procedural MI	1.1% (3)	1.1% (2)	1.1% (5)	0.96
Spontaneous MI	2.8% (8)	0.0% (0)	1.8% (8)	0.02
Target Vessel MI	2.1% (6)	1.1% (2)	1.7% (8)	0.42



Manesh P, et al. TCT CONNECT



## Multivariable Cox Regression Model for Cardiac Death, Spontaneous MI, or Clinically Driven TVR

	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Post-iFR <0.95	3.35 (0.97, 11.49)	0.055
Age, year	1.01 (0.96, 1.06)	0.74
Diabetes Mellitus	1.47 (0.59, 3.70)	0.41
Acute Coronary Syndrome Presentation	1.33 (0.53, 3.31)	0.54







## **Take Home Message**

- The correlation between residual stenosis by QCA and post-PCI iFR was poor.
- 24% of the cases had post PCI iFR ≤0.89, and focal residual pressure gradient occurred 80% of the cases, and thus could be potentially treated with additional PCI.
- A post-PCI iFR ≥0.95 was associated with less cardiac death, spontaneous MI, or clinically-driven TVR compared with a post-PCI iFR <0.95.</li>
- The clinical effectiveness of iFR guidance (target iFR ≥0.95) to identify and eliminate post-PCI ischemia will be studied in the prospective randomized DEFINE-GPS trial.



